

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office

	SSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box	
Alexandri	a, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspi	o.gov
•	

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/677,457	10/02/2003	Kirk Sawall	D5-047-06-US	8499	
22854 7	22854 7590 04/18/2005			EXAMINER	
MOORE, HANSEN & SUMNER, PLLP			RODRIGUEZ, JOSEPH C		
225 SOUTH SIXTH ST MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3653		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. <u></u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/677,457	SAWALL ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Joseph C Rodriguez	3653			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Ja	nuary 2005.				
·	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
•—	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4) \( \times \) 5) \( \times \) 6) \( \times \) 7) \( \times \)	Claim(s) 1-61 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) 1-61 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine		<u> </u>			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) Inform	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Applicant's response to the previous restriction requirement is noted. However, the sixteen independent claim groupings that remain pending as well as the presence of multiple inventions has necessitated a further restriction requirement. Applicant is also reminded to include a complete claim listing with proper claim status identifiers with the response to the restriction requirement.

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 6-31, 55, 56, drawn to a mounting pin, a support frame, sieve bed and sifting machine, classified in class 209, subclass 399.
- II. Claims 33-51 and 57-61 drawn to a mounting pin, a support frame, sieve bed and sifting machine, classified in class 209, subclass 399.
- III. Claims 4, 5 and 52-54, drawn to four different sieving elements, classified in class 209, subclass 397.
- IV. Claim 3, drawn to a sifting machine, classified in class 209, subclass 405.

  The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Many of the inventions in groups I-IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the respective sifting machine

Art Unit: 3653

combinations in groups I, II and IV as claimed do not require the particulars of the various subcombination claim groupings (i.e., mounting pins, sieve elements, support frame) as evidenced by the broader claiming of the subcombination features in the combination claims. The various subcombinations have separate utility in the sifting arts that are self-evident.

Many of the inventions in groups I-IV, such as the mounting pins, support frames and sieve elements, are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, the sieve element subcombination has separate utility as a screening mechanism and the mounting pin and support frame subcombinations have separate utility as support structures. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Here, the large amount of claim groupings present a considerable burden to Examiner during examination. Further, because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Groups I & II is not required for Groups III & IV, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Due to the complexity of the restriction requirement, a telephone call was not made to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

## Conclusion

Any references not explicitly discussed above but made of record are considered relevant to the prosecution of the instant application.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph C Rodriguez whose telephone number is **571-272-6942**. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F during normal business hours (9 am – 6 pm, EST).

The **Official** fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **703-872-9326** (After-Final **703-972-9327**).

The examiner's UNOFFICIAL Personal fax number is 571-273-6942.

Further, information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PMR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PMR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see

## http://pair-direct.uspto.gov

Should you have questions on access to the Private PMR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (Toll Free).

Alternatively, inquiries of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding can also be directed to the **Receptionist** whose telephone number is **571-272-6584**.

Page 5

Signed by Examiner Joseph Rodriguez

Jcr

\*\*\*

April 14, 2005